

2003-2005 CDP Scorecard
Current regulations 6 AAC 93

Category	Regulation	Purpose	Source of Information	APICDA	BBEDC	CBSFA	CVRF	NSEDC	YDFDA
Population & Economic Needs	6 AAC 93.040(b)(1)	Evaluation of population and economic conditions of eligible CDQ communities participating in a proposed CDP.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Analysis of 2000 U.S. Census report including population, current community infrastructure, and individual household demographic information. 2) Consultation with the Alaska Dept. of Labor and Dept. of Community & Economic Development for demographic information. 3) Consultation with Department of Revenue, Division of Permanent Fund applicant statistics. 4) Analysis of proposed CDP and annual audits from prior CDP cycle. 5) Interviews with CDQ applicants 	4.3	7.3	4.3	10	9	6.7
CDP Achievement	6 AAC 93.040(b)(2), (b)(16), and (b)(17)	Achievement of CDP and program milestones & objectives. Factors for consideration include allocation requests and the proper allocations necessary to achieve CDP milestones. Discussion of milestones and how they relate to a transition plan from reliance on CDQ to self-sufficiency.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Discussion of milestones during a CDP cycle. 2) Evaluation of CDP milestones.. 3) Interviews with CDQ applicants. 	4.3	8.3	4.7	9	7.3	7
Community Regional & Statewide Benefits	6 AAC 93.017(1), (2), (8), (9) 6 AAC 93.040(b)(3), (b)(4), (b)(9), (b)(10), (b)(12), (b)(13), and (b)(14)	Evidence a CDP provides fisheries related social and economic benefits, including employment and training programs, to each eligible CDQ community and to the state, derived from maximum utilization and control of CDQ allocations and CDQ investments.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Analysis of project sheet forms in proposed CDP. 2) Performance of investments as determined through audited financial statements. 3) Milestone achievements as presented in annual audits. 4) Analysis of statistics from quarterly reports. 5) Interviews with CDQ applicants 	7.3	5.3	7.3	6.3	6.3	5.7

2003-2005 CDP Scorecard (continued)
Current regulations 6 AAC 93

Category	Regulation	Purpose	Source of Information	APICDA	BBEDC	CBSFA	CVRF	NSEDC	YDFDA
Community Outreach & Involvement	6 AAC 93.040(b)(2), (b)(3), (b)(10), (b)(16), (b)(17), (b)(18), (b)(19)	Evidence that the applicant has developed an effective outreach program to keep participating communities fully informed about CDQ activities and to facilitate community involvement throughout the CDP cycle.	1) Analysis of CDP milestones. 2) Verification of contact with community through analysis of quarterly reports, board and committee meeting minutes, annual reports, and outreach conferences. 3) Interviews with CDQ applicants.	5.7	6.7	8	6.3	7	7.3
Management Effectiveness	6 AAC 93.040(b)(8), (b)(11), (b)(13), (b)(14), (b)(15), (b)(16), (b)(17)	Demonstration of management effectiveness & efficiency, including board training and participation, as well as a formal effective administrative process utilizing sound business principles while exercising a sufficient level of due diligence to complete the goals and objectives of the proposed CDP. Demonstration of effective management of allocations relative to enforcement measures.	1) Completion of CDP milestones. 2) Use of consultants to perform management duties & technical analysis. 3) Number of CDQ region residents employed in management positions. 4) Analysis of overall program & administrative costs in annual audited reports & CDP budgets. 5) Analysis of staff & independent contractor compensation packages 6) Interviews with CDQ applicants.	5	7	4.3	8	6.7	6.7
CDQ Program Standards	6 AAC 93.017(1)-(9) 6 AAC 93.040(b)(2), (b)(3), (b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(6), (b)(7), (b)(14), (b)(15), (b)(20)	Evidence that a CDQ applicant has minimized legal and financial risk by exercising sufficient due diligence and demonstrating a reasonable likelihood that for-profit CDQ projects will earn a financial return, meet measurable CDP milestones, utilize conservation-based fisheries and further the overall goals and purposes of the CDQ program.	1) Analysis of annual audited independent reports. 2) Analysis of CDP investment guidelines and due diligence on investments. 3) Analysis of milestones in annual audited reports. 4) Analysis of proposed CDP and plans for CDP cycle. 5) Input from public concerning the goals and objectives of a proposed CDP. 6) Interviews with CDQ applicants.	5	8	5.7	8.7	5.7	7.3

Assumptions:

- 1) Other sources analyzed during the allocation process will include quarterly reports and all correspondence between the state and the CDQ applicant during the previous and current CDP application cycle.